

Serial No. 10/662,211  
Applicant: KRISTOFF

Page 6 of 7

REMARKS

The Examiner's Office Action of 04/16/2004 has been reviewed.

Note is taken of the Examiner's rejection "under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph". This rejection is traversed since the Examiner concludes that the application fails to comply with the enablement requirement. Such is not well taken. A careful review of the instant application, text and drawings, when taken together, clearly shows, particularly in Figure 2, motor 44 and extending upwardly therefrom is an eccentric 32 with a vertical axis of rotation 36. Note, in particular, the text in the middle of Page 9 to the middle of Page 11. Such rotation causes balls 40 to rotate about a vertical axis in a horizontal plane. During such rotation they periodically contact one side and then the other of a housing. Note Figure 4. Such action results in the lateral movement, side by side, of the entire system to provide a massage effect to a person whose neck rests on the central extent 20 of the pillow 14. The motion and mode of operation are extremely simple and are deemed adequately set forth in the application as filed.

Withdrawal of the rejection is requested and a notice of allowance sought. If the Examiner does not feel that these remarks place this application in condition for allowance, it is

Serial No. 10/662,211

Applicant: KRISTOFF

Page 7 of 7

requested that the Examiner telephone applicant's attorney as indicated herein.